

डॉ. चन्द्र शेखर कुमार, आई.ए.एस
अपर सचिव

Dr. Chandra Shekhar Kumar, IAS
ADDITIONAL SECRETARY

Tel.: 011-23725301
Email: cs.kumar@nic.in



पंचायती राज मंत्रालय
भारत सरकार
टावर-II, 9वां तल
जीवन भारती बिल्डिंग,
नई दिल्ली-110001
MINISTRY OF PANCHAYATI RAJ
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
Tower-II, 9th Floor,
Jeevan Bharati Building,
New Delhi-110001

D.O. No. M-11015/368/2022-CB-Part(4)(29163)

Dated: 25th May, 2023

Dee Madan / Siv,

As you are aware that the Gram Panchayat Development Plans (GPDP) of 2023-24 is being prepared adopting thematic approach with the aim to achieve International goals and targets of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) through active intervention of Panchayats by 2030 in graduated manner embracing the approach of (i) convergence of all flagship schemes, (ii) saturation of prioritized needs and (iii) usage of digital technology for ensuring transparency and accountability.

2. Saturation of identified theme(s) would require effective convergence of flagship schemes at GP level and capturing information details of assets, beneficiaries etc. in GPDP. Therefore, States/UTs vide my DO letters dated 14.03.2023 and 27.03.2023 (copies enclosed) were requested to give necessary direction to the concerned officers at Block and District level to provide requisite information of flagship schemes to GPs, as mentioned in the above letters. However, feedback received that GPs are not getting information of flagship schemes from concerned line departments, which is adversely impacting the quality as well as pace of preparation and uploading of GPDP.

3. Ministry has continuously carrying out concurrent evaluation of GPDP on random basis, which broadly shows that (i) flagship scheme details not captured, (ii) description of activities are not proper, (iii) various activities at same cost, (iv) OSR and 15th FC activities cost ranging from Rs.5 to Rs.1000 which is not feasible, etc. Such issues are mainly in the States of Assam, Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Haryana, Himachal, Gujarat, Goa, Rajasthan, Telangana, Maharashtra etc. Based on the concurrent evaluation around 84 Plans across the States have been reverted to GPs. The State-wise analysis of GPDP and its findings is at **Annexure-I**.

4. Further, the pace of uploading of GPDP is also slow in many States (Assam, Gujarat, Punjab, Jharkhand, Odisha, Uttarakhand, Haryana, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu) and various States /UTs (Arunachal Pradesh, Karnataka, Manipur, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Nagaland, Puducherry, Dadra & Nagar Haveli and Daman & Diu) has not yet uploaded a singly GPDP, so far. The status of GPDP uploaded on the portal is at **Annexure-II**.

5. In view of the above, it is again reiterated that:

- (i) Personal interventions of Secretary/Commissioner/Director Panchayati Raj, Director SIRDs and heads of other training institutes at regional/district/block level required to prepare quality GPDP as per guidelines.

- (ii) It was requested vide DO letter dated 27.03.2023 to organise Block level workshop to provide information of flagship schemes, such arrangements, if not done, to be expedited to provide requisite information of flagship schemes to GPs.
- (iii) Faculties of SIRDs/ SPRCs/ DPRCs/ BPRCs, SPMU/ DPMU/ BPMU, SLMTs/ DLMTs/ BLMTs, Young Fellows of Model Cluster projects to be engaged suitably to provide guidance/ handholding to the GPs to prepare quality GPDP.
- (iv) To expedite the preparation and uploading of GPDP, so that the same could be completed by 31.05.2023

6. In view of the above, I again request you to give necessary direction to the concerned officials so that quality of GPDP could be ensured by the GPs in your States/UTs and uploading of GPDP 2023-24 could be expedited and completed by 31st May, 2023.

Regd

Encl: As above

Yours sincerely,

25.5.23
(Dr. Chandra Shekhar Kumar)

To
Additional Chief Secretary/Principal Secretary/Secretary,
Department of Rural Development & Panchayati Raj
All States/UTs.

Findings of GDPD: 2023-24 analysis

S. No.	State Name	Major issues/findings
1	Andhra Pradesh	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Multiple activities planned showing the same budget amount of Rs.1000/-. 29 Activities are planned showing same budget amount of Rs.7500/- from XVFC fund (Kurnool, Adoni, Arekal). Very few flagship scheme incorporated in the Plans Resource envelop includes only Central Finance Commission Grants Large number of activities are planned with huge OSR.
2	Assam	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Many activities are planned showing the same amount of Rs.100 and Rs.1, which is not feasible. Many Activities planned under MGNREGS with the same budget of Rs.10000/- Description of the activities seems not properly written.
3	Bihar	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Very few flagship schemes incorporated in the Plans Large number of very low cost activities (Rs.5 and Rs.10, Rs.100) planned from the resources of XV FC Description of the activities not properly written.
4	Chhattisgarh	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Very few flagship schemes incorporated in the Plans Some Panchayats have increased the amount of OSR this year by more than 100% as compared to the previous year as shown in the resource envelope. Multiple activities show in the same budget amount of Rs.200(Bhala, Ramchandarpur, Balrampur) without any reflections or details.
5	Goa	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Lot of activities planned for an amount of Rs.100, 160, 200 etc. which is not possible Description of the activities seems not properly written. Very few flagship scheme incorporated in the Plans
6	Gujarat	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Only three plans uploaded, so far The activities of Rs.1/- from OSR funds included in Plan. Proper description of the activities not written
7	Haryana	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Major flagship scheme not covered. Many activities of Rs.0 planned for 'camp in village' Description is not proper words like 'camp in village', 'footkar' written. Large number of very low cost activities (Rs.5 and Rs.10, Rs.100) planned from the resources of XV FC and OSR
8	Himachal Pradesh	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> No cost allocated to VPRP activities. Separate activities but same amount are allocated e.g. Appropriate flooring in classrooms and toilets / BharatNetWifi Facility / Books Journals/ Construction and repairing of Gate doors, window, fixtures etc. and Periodicals have been allocated Rs.100/- Fund allocated from OSR to different activities are not properly aligned with the activities e.g. Electricity/Solar Power Installation - Rs.200/- SFC is only allocated to Wage/Honorarium/Remuneration to Staff.
9	Jharkhand	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Only 5 Plans (0.12) uploaded out of 4345 GPs Non-budgeted and convergence activities are not included under the plan.

S. No.	State Name	Major issues/findings
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Large number of activities of Rs.100 take-up (Devalbari, Narayanpur, Jamtara) 4. Same cost activities have also been taken up. 5. Even the activities of Rs.10 has also been included from OSR (Khaira, Tatijharia, Hajaribag)
10	Kerala	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No major flagship scheme covered in the plan. 2. Many activities of Rs.1000 planned from OSR. 3. Just a word like 'flagship', 'dummy', 'theme 6' etc. used for description of activity.
11	Madhya Pradesh	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Resources of GPs include XV FC, JJM, SBM, NRLM, MGNREGA 2. Some GPs have incorporated the activities of the cost of Rs.50 to 100 from XV FC which seems not possible to complete. 3. Non budgeted and convergence activities are included in few plans. 4. Same cost activities have been incorporated in the Plan (Anjrada, Pati, Barwani)
12	Maharashtra	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Multiple activities of Rs.100 planned from OSR grant. 2. No "Low Cost/No Cost' activities included. 3. Major flagship scheme not covered. 4. Many activities of Rs.0 planned for 'camp in village' 5. Description of activities not written proper. Mentioned like 'OWN FOUND', 'V.P.R.P.', 'WON FUND WORK'.
13	Odisha	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Only 20 GPs (0.22) have uploaded their GPDP out of 6794 GPs, so far 2. Resource envelop include XV FC, SFC, OSR, SBM and MGNREGA 3. Non-budgeted and convergence activities included
14	Punjab	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Only 6 GPs (0.05) have uploaded their GPDP out of 13248 GPs, so far. 2. No activities of flagship schemes included in the plan 3. Many activities of Rs.1000 reflected from the MGNREGA and Own fund in the plan of BAL LABE DARIA, AJNALA, AMRITSAR
15	Rajasthan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. State has allocated fund all the flagship scheme in the resource envelop (MDM, AwasYojana, Khelo India, SAGY etc.) which are not devolved to the Panchayats. GP to revisit resource envelop. 2. Details under Profile section not updated. However, GPs have mentioned it as updated. 3. Some GPs have incorporated the activities of the cost of Rs.50 to 100 from XV FC which seems not possible to complete.
16	Tamil Nadu	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Only 2057 GPs (16.42%) have uploaded their GPDP out of 12525 GPs, so far. 2. Resource envelop include XV FC, SFC and OSR in some GPs fund of SBM and MPLAD also reflected 3. No cost activities of only Sankalp theme included. 4. Major flagship scheme not covered.
17	Telangana	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Multiple activities of Rs.100, Rs.200, Rs.300, and Rs.500 planned from XVFC grant and OSR. 2. No proper description just a word like 'construction', 'creation', 'services', 'distribution', 'practice', 'road side' and many more. 3. Non budgeted and convergence activities are included only in few plans.
18	Uttarakhand	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Only 17 GPs (0.22%) have uploaded their GPDP out of 7795 GPs, so far.

S. No.	State Name	Major issues/findings
		2. Resource envelop include XV FC, SFC and OSR 3. No cost activities not included in the plans 4. More than 20 activities from OSR costing to Rs.100 included (BHARUCHOUBATTA, BAGESHWAR, BAGESHWAR)
19	Uttar Pradesh	1. Resource envelop include XV FC, SFC and OSR. Huge jump in OSR resources reflecting in most of the plans. 2. No cost and convergent activities not included. 3. In the description of many activities, only public work has been mentioned, due to which it is not understood what kind of activity and how it is going to be done. 4. Large number of very low cost activities (Rs.5 and Rs.10, Rs.100) planned from the resources of XV FC and OSR
20	West Bengal	1. No activities of flagship schemes are taken 2. Mismatch of activity with description e.g. Awareness Progarm on symptoms of anaemia; vicious cycle of anaemia and preventive measures: Healthy food distribution for Tuberculosis patient 3. Flagship Schemes like MDM/ SSA and ICDS amount are allocated in the resource envelop. Whether these funds are directly devolved to Panchayats to be clarified.

Status of GPDP as on 24-05-2023

S. No.	State Name	Total No. of GP/TLBs	No. of GPs with published GPDP	% of GP with published GPDP
1	Andaman & Nicobar Islands	70	40	57.14
2	Andhra Pradesh	13325	10771	80.83
3	Arunachal Pradesh	2108	0	0.00
4	Assam	2662	8	0.30
5	Bihar	8181	8022	98.06
6	Chhattisgarh	11656	5119	43.92
7	Goa	191	13	6.81
8	Gujarat	14589	3	0.02
9	Haryana	6223	627	10.08
10	Himachal Pradesh	3615	3431	94.91
11	Jammu & Kashmir	4291	3641	84.85
12	Jharkhand	4345	5	0.12
13	Karnataka	5953	0	0.00
14	Kerala	941	938	99.68
15	Ladakh	193	94	48.70
16	Lakshadweep	10	0	0.00
17	Madhya Pradesh	23016	3938	17.11
18	Maharashtra	27902	4854	17.40
19	Manipur	3812	0	0.00
20	Meghalaya	7091	0	0.00
21	Mizoram	840	0	0.00
22	Nagaland	1292	0	0.00
23	Odisha	6794	15	0.22
24	Puducherry	108	0	0.00
25	Punjab	13241	6	0.05
26	Rajasthan	11250	1973	17.54
27	Sikkim	199	187	93.97
28	Tamil Nadu	12525	2057	16.42
29	Telangana	12769	9553	74.81
30	The Dadra & Nagar Haveli and Daman & Diu	38	0	0.00
31	Tripura	1178	426	36.16
32	Uttarakhand	7795	17	0.22
33	Uttar Pradesh	57712	57457	99.56
34	West Bengal	3339	3143	94.13
	Total	269254	116338	43.21

Reported Generated through eGramSwaraj (<http://egramswaraj.gov.in>) on Wed, May 24, 2023 11:30:03 AM.